

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/781,697 | 02/12/2001 | Hagan P. Bayley | 4210.001200 | 1449 |
| 75 | 90 03/13/2003 | | | |
| Scott Reese, Ph.D | | | EXAMINER | |
| Howrey, Simon, Arnold & White, LLP 750 Bering Drive Houston, TX 77057-2198 | | | TRAN, MY CHAU T | |
| | | 1 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1639 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applicati n N . | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/781,697 | BAYLEY ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | My-Chau T. Tran | 1639 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) \(\square\) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | | dered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo | ☐ will be entered and an wor appended. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: none. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 32-38 (for reasons of record). | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | SUF | ANDREW WANG PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | |

TECHNILLIGY CENTER 1600

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of the limitation of "an exogenous sensing moiety capable of preferentially binding with a specific analyte" to claim 32 were not previously presented and their consideration would necessitates new search and examination to determine patentability.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment to Claim 32 was not entered for reasons set forth above in #2. Further, applicant arguments are most since they are based on the proposed amendment that have not been entered.